

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of California

United States of America

v.

Omar Ward

)

)

)

Case No: CR 06-0380-01-SI

USM No: 94258-111

Date of Original Judgment: 10/12/2007

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

)

Rita Bosworth

Defendant's Attorney

ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months is reduced to _____.

(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 10/12/2007 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 1/8/12



Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Susan Illston, U.S. District Court Judge

Printed name and title

**This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal.
(Not for Public Disclosure)**

Omar Ward

DEFENDANT: _____

CASE NUMBER: CR 06-0380-01-SI

DISTRICT: Northern District of California

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Total Offense Level: _____ Amended Total Offense Level: _____

Criminal History Category: _____ Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

USA et al,

Plaintiff,

v.

OMAR WARD et al,

Defendant.

Case Number: CR06-00380 SI

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on January 9, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Omar Ward
LOMPOC
U.S. PENITENTIARY
4000 Victory Road
LOMPOC, CA 93436

Dated: January 9, 2012

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: Tracy Forakis, Deputy Clerk